CO-OCCURRENCES / EMBEDDINGS



DISTRIBUTIONAL SEMANTICS – REMINDER I

Distributional semantics:

- Zellig Harris (1951): Words used in the same/similar linguistic context have similar meaning
- Alternative: "definiert man die Verteilung sprachlicher Elemente als die Summe alle Kontexte, in denen das jeweilige Element auftritt, dann können Elemente als distributionell äquivalent angesehen werden, wenn ihre Distribution gleich ist." (Biemann et al. 2022)
- J.R. Firth (1957): You shall know a word by the company it keeps

DISTRIBUTIONAL SEMANTICS – REMINDER II

- terms co-occurring with a term are its semantic features
- Calculation of significant co-occurrences
- Many significance measures, like:
 - Cosine Similarity
 - Dice Coefficient
 - Pointwise Mutual Information

. . .

VECTOR SPACE MODEL

- Representation as points in (high-dimensional) vector space
 - \rightarrow feature vector
- Proximity in vector space as the degree of similarity of the entities

- Excursus Information Retrieval: word frequency in documents
- Document features: words / word frequency
 - \rightarrow document-term matrix
- Often: weights based on tf.idf (or similar)





Figure 1-11 Inverted file with added item. (a) Inverted index with a new item 6. (b) Sample information items with added item 6.

Gerard Salton & Michael J. McGill (1983): Introduction to Modern Information Retrieval. McGraw-Hill College.

UNIVERSITAT LEIPZIG Text Mining and Retrieval



Absolute frequency:

	As You Like It	Twelfth Night	Julius Caesar	Henry V
battle	1	0	7	13
good	114	80	62	89
fool	36	58	1	4
wit	20	15	2	3

- Weighted via tf.idf

	As You Like It	Twelfth Night	Julius Caesar	Henry V
battle	0.074	0	0.22	0.28
good	0	0	0	0
fool	0.019	0.021	0.0036	0.0083
wit	0.049	0.044	0.018	0.022

- Documents as term vectors \rightarrow document vector

	As You Like	It Twelfth Nig	ght Julius Caesar	Henry V
battle	0.074	0	0.22	0.28
good	0	0	0	0
fool	0.019	0.021	0.0036	0.0083
wit	0.049	0.044	0.018	0.022

- As you like it: (0.074, 0, 0.019, 0,049)
- *Twelfth Night*: (0, 0, 0.021, 0.044)
- Julius Caesar: (0.22, 0, 0.0036, 0.018)
- Henry V: (0.28, 0, 0.0083, 0.022)

or (1, 114, 36, 20) or (0, 80, 58, 15) or (7, 62, 1, 2) or (13, 89, 4, 3)

VECTOR SPACE MODEL – MANHATTAN DISTANCE

- City Block distance / Manhattan distance s

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| X_i - Y_i \right|$$



Wikimedia Commons; User:Psychonaut

VECTOR SPACE MODEL – DOT PRODUCT

Dot product

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i * Y_i$$

Disadvantage: longer vectors → higher similarity
 → favors frequent terms (~stop words)

VECTOR SPACE MODEL – COSINE SIMILARITY

- Cosine Similarity
- Angle between two vectors

$$\cos(X, Y) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i * Y_i}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i^2}}$$

- based on the scalar product of two vectors X and Y
- Values: [-1, 1] or [0,1]
 - Orthogonality: 0

VECTOR SPACE MODEL – TERM-TERM-MATRIX

- Back to words \rightarrow term-term-matrix / word-word-matrix
- Instead of a document term matrix, we use a term term matrix (based on the set of significant terms of a text collection and their co-occurrences)
- For vocabulary $t_1 t_n$ (not necessarily symmetrical):

REMINDER: DISTRIBUTIONAL HYPOTHESIS

- (Harris: Words that are used in the same linguistic contexts have a similar meaning)
- Context of a word: (global) co-occurrences of a word in the corpus
 - \rightarrow Description by word vector in term term matrix

	blue	boy	girl	ocean	red	white
blue	-	2	1	4	43	37
boy	2	_ 1	47	0	1	13
girl	1	47	-	1	3	37
ocean	4	0	1	-	2	0
red	43	1	3	2	-	23
white	37	13	37	0	23	-

- Manhattan distance:

	blue	boy	girl	ocean	red	white	
blue	-	2	1	4	43	37	
red	43	1	3	2	-	23	
Diff.	-	1	2	2	-	14	=>19

 Difference: 		blue	boy	
	blue red	- 43	2 1	
	boy red	2 43	- 1	
	girl	1	47	

	blue	boy	girl	ocean	red	white		
olue	-	2	1	4	43	37		
ed	43	1	3	2	-	23	=>	19
ооу	2	-	47	0	1	<mark>13</mark>		
ed	43	1	3	2	-	23	=>	97
girl	1	47	-	1	3	37		
red	43	1	3	2	-	23	=>	103
ocean	4	0	1	1/557	2	0		
red	43	1	3	2	-	23	=>	65
red	43	1	3	2	-	23		
red	43	1	3	2	_	23	=>	0
white	37	13	37	0	23	-0		
red	43	1	3	2	_	23	=>	54

Results:

girl	blue	foot	baby	bread	butter
boy	red	leg	child	meat	cheese
man	green	hand	mother	cake	bread
woman	grey	head	girl	cheese	sugar
mother	yellow	back	boy	milk	chocolate
child	white	side	father	toast	milk

- Example animals vs. IT vs. cake in Wikipedia:

	aardvark	•••	computer	data	result	pie	sugar	•••
cherry	0	•••	2	8	9	442	25	
strawberry	0	•••	0	0	1	60	19	•••
digital	0	•••	1670	1683	85	5	4	•••
information	0		3325	3982	378	5	13	

Example "*digital*":

	aardvark	•••	computer	data	result	pie	sugar	•••
cherry	0		2	8	9	442	25	
strawberry	0	•••	0	0	1	60	19	
digital	0		1670	1683	85	5	4	
information	0		3325	3982	378	5	13	

- Example *digital* vs. *information*:



	aardvark	 computer	data	result	pie	sugar	
cherry	0	 2	8	9	442	25	
strawberry	0	 0	0	1	60	19	
digital	0	 1670	1683	85	5	4	
information	0	 3325	3982	378	5	13	

- Cosine *digital* vs. *information* vs. *cherry*:



- Cosine *digital* vs. *information* vs. *cherry*:

	pie	data	computer
cherry	442	8	2
digital	5	1683	1670
information	5	3982	3325

$$\cos(cherry, information) = \frac{442*5+8*3982+2*3325}{\sqrt{442^2+8^2+2^2}*\sqrt{5^2+3982^2+3325^2}} = 0,18$$

$$\cos(digital, information) = \frac{5*5+1683*3982+1670*3325}{\sqrt{5^2+1683^2+1670^2}*\sqrt{5^2+3982^2+3325^2}} = 0,996$$

Strongest word co-occurrences at Wortschatz Leipzig:



https://corpora.wortschatz-leipzig.de/de?corpusId=deu_news_2021

Most similar words for *Leipzig*

Formen mit ähnlichem Satzkontext

RB Leipzig (0,50), Dortmund (0,41), Wolfsburg (0,36), Freiburg (0,36), RB (0,36), Leverkusen (0,36), Mönchengladbach (0,36), Augsburg (0,36), Hoffenheim (0,33), Eintracht Frankfurt (0,32), Köln (0,32), Bielefeld (0,32), Borussia Dortmund (0,32), Bayer Leverkusen (0,31), Mainz (0,31), Stuttgart (0,31), Union Berlin (0,30), Borussia Mönchengladbach (0,30), Bayern München (0,30), München (0,30), Bremen (0,30), Kiel (0,29), FC Köln (0,29), Frankfurt (0,29), VfL Wolfsburg (0,28), Hertha BSC (0,28), Bochum (0,28), Fürth (0,28), BVB (0,28), 1. FC Köln (0,27), Gladbach (0,27), FC Bayern München (0,26), Schalke 04 (0,26), Borussia (0,26), Bayern (0,25), Hertha (0,24), Schalke (0,24), Düsseldorf (0,23)

- Similarity measure: Cosine similarity / Dice coefficient using strongest
 - Sentence co-occurrences
 - Neighbourhood (Context window size n=1)
- To reduce complexity: only the 1000 strongest co-occurrences per word

https://corpora.wortschatz-leipzig.de/de/res?corpusId=deu_news_2021&word=Leipzig

a

– Berlin

Hamburg (0,26), München (0,26), Köln (0,24), Düsseldorf (0,22), Dresden (0,21), Bremen (0,20), Stuttgart (0,20), Wien (0,20), Frankfurt (0,20), Dortmund (0,19), Leipzig (0,19), Hannover (0,19), Nürnberg (0,18), Deutschland (0,18), Augsburg (0,17), Nordrhein-Westfalen (0,17), London (0,16), Bonn (0,16), Rom (0,16), Brandenburg (0,16), Potsdam (0,16), Salzburg (0,16), Münster (0,16), Bayern (0,16), Baden-Württemberg (0,15), Mecklenburg-Vorpommern (0,15), Rheinland-Pfalz (0,15), Paris (0,14), Sachsen (0,14), Niedersachsen (0,14), Zürich (0,14)

– Montag

Donnerstag (0,70), Mittwoch (0,70), Dienstag (0,69), Freitag (0,69), Samstag (0,51), Sonntag (0,51)

Bundestag

Landtag (0,39), Parlament (0,35), Abgeordnetenhaus (0,31), Kabinett (0,24), Bundesrat (0,23), Bundestagswahl (0,23), Senat (0,22), Gemeinderat (0,19)

– Weihnachten

Ostern (0,29), Weihnachtsfest (0,24), Heiligabend (0,24), Silvester (0,21), Weihnachtszeit (0,20), Pfingsten (0,18), Feiertage (0,16), Fest (0,14), Wochenende (0,14), Herbst (0,14), Dezember (0,13), Sommer (0,13), Wochen (0,12), Jahr (0,12)

https://corpora.wortschatz-leipzig.de/de?corpusId=deu_news_2021

PROBLEM 1 – LARGE MATRICES

- Example corpus "deu_news_2021":
 - 33.3M sentences
 - 5.5M types
 - Co-occurrences matrix $T^*T \rightarrow 5.5M * 5.5M = 30,250G$
- Example corpus "deu_mixed_2011"
 - 259M sentences
 - 37M types
 - Co-occurrences matrix $T^*T \rightarrow 37M * 37M = 1,369,000G$

PROBLEM 2 – EMPTY/SPARSE MATRICES

- Example corpus "deu_news_2021":
 - In theory: 5.5M * 5.5M = 30,250G
- Real values
 - Context: sentence
 - Minimum co-occurrence frequency: 3
 - Minimum significance (Log-likelihood-Ratio): 6.63
 - \rightarrow 88.2 M
- Why? Zipf's law...
 - \sim ~50% of all types with frequency 1 → Consequence for term term matrix / co-occurrence matrix

https://corpora.wortschatz-leipzig.de/de?corpusId=deu_news_2021

PROBLEM 2 – EMPTY/SPARSE MATRICES

- Example:
 - *5-km-Loipe* (one sentence: "Lisa Hirner, die nach 82,5 Metern im Sprungteil auf Rang sechs gelegen war, verbesserte sich auf der 5-km-Loipe auf Platz vier.")

 \rightarrow max ~20 co-occurrences in the corpus (before filters or significance)

 \rightarrow term vectors mostly 0 (5.5M types – 20)

- Example:
 - Stop words (like *der*)
 - \rightarrow Absolute frequency: 14,9M in 11,5 sentences
 - \rightarrow 206,543 co-cooccurences (after filter or significance)
 - \rightarrow term vector still mostly empty (5.5M Types 206,543)

https://corpora.wortschatz-leipzig.de/de/res?corpusId=deu_news_2021&word=5-km-Loipe

PROBLEM 2 – EMPTY/SPARSE MATRICES

- Possible solution:
 - Not all words useful features for term vectors
 - Instead: most frequent n types
 - like 10K 50K most frequent types

PROBLEM 3 – SIMILARITY IN SPARSE MATRICES

- Similarity only if some match between vectors
 - Assumption: no connection between features
 - Ignores semantic similarity between feature words (synonyms, etc.)
 - Also: morphology / tokenization

e.g.

$$W_1 = Beethoven \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ M_2 = Paganini \end{pmatrix}$$

 $W_2 = Paganini \end{pmatrix}$

Source example: Chris Biemann, Gerhard Heyer & Uwe Quasthoff: Wissensrohstoff Text: Eine Einführung in das Text Mining, Springer Vieweg, 2022.

WORD EMBEDDINGS

- General: real-valued vector that represents the distributional semantics of a particular word in the embedding space.
 - Cooccurrence matrix as "sparse embeddings"
- More specific: massive reduction in dimensions (e.g. <1000)
 - "Dense Vector Embeddings"
- Various variants
 - Static word embeddings (Types)
 - Contextual word embeddings (Tokens)
 - Document Embeddings (Documents)

WORD EMBEDDINGS – WORD2VEC

- Contexts in which words are used are learned with the help of a neural network
- Continuous Bag-of-Words architecture (CBOW)
 - uses co-occurrences to predict possible target words
- Skip-Gram architecture
 - uses the vector representation to predict co-occurrences

WORD EMBEDDINGS – SKIP-GRAMS I

- Basic idea: find likely context words for a word
- Approach:
 - Iteration over all tokens t_i in training corpus
 - Neighborhood of t_i as context (e.g. n=2 \rightarrow t_{i-2}, t_{i-1}, t_{i+1}, t_{i+2})
 - Positive samples: context words found
 - Negative samples: randomly selected other words from the corpus

WORD EMBEDDINGS – SKIP-GRAMS II

e.g. context size n=2



- Probabilistic classifier: $P(+|t_i, t_j) \rightarrow Probability$ that t_j in context of t_i

Source: https://medium.com/@Aj.Cheng/word2vec-3b2cc79d674

WORD EMBEDDINGS – SKIP-GRAMS III

- 1. Random initialization of embeddings for all words
- 2. Incremental adjustment for the training data
 - for positive data (=word pair found in the corpus)

 \rightarrow Increase the similarity of their embeddings

- for negative data (=word pair not found in the corpus)

 \rightarrow Decrease the similarity of their embeddings

- Similarity? Scalar product!
- Derivation via gradient descent: Daniel Jurafsky & James H. Martin: Speech and Language Processing, Pearson Prentice Hall, 2024.

WORD EMBEDDINGS – SKIP-GRAMS IV

- Example: "a tablespoon of apricot jam"
- Positive:
 - (apricot, jam)
- Negative:
 - (apricot, matrix)
 - (apricot, Tolstoy)



Source: Daniel Jurafsky & James H. Martin: Speech and Language Processing, Pearson Prentice Hall, 2024.

WORD EMBEDDINGS – LIBRARIES / DATA

- Many libraries / precomputed embeddings, e.g.
 - word2vec
 - FastText (embeddings for ~160 languages)
 - GloVe
 - BERT, Elmo, Flair (contextual embeddings)

WORD EMBEDDINGS – EXAMPLE I – WORTSCHATZ

angela kanzlerin videopodcast bundeskanzlerin frau kanzleramt regierungserklrung zuzuschreiben staatstragend sportpresse rentendebatte europapolitik

sagte erklärte betonte ergänzte meinte sagt erläuterte kommentierte versicherte warnte unterstrich mahnte

montag dienstag mittwoch donnerstag freitag montagabend dienstagabend samstag mittwochabend donnerstagabend freitagabend sonnabend

juni september mai märz oktober november april februar august juli dezember januar

Skip-Grams based on deu_news (2018?)

WORD EMBEDDINGS – EXAMPLE II – DORNSEIFF

- Dornseiff Der deutsche Wortschatz nach Sachgruppen (various editions since 1934, Franz Dornseiff)
 - German vocabulary organized in subject groups
 - e.g. Straße

3.11 Waagerecht Brett, Eispanzer, Eisschicht, Flachland, Flur, Fußboden, Horizont, Kegelbahn, Plattform, Rost, Sandbank, Schneeschicht, Staubschicht, Straße, Straßenbelag, Talgrund, Terrasse, Wachsschicht, Wasserfilm, Wasserhöhe, Zeitachse
4.33 Verbinden Arm, Brücke, Durchgang, Durchstich, Gasse, Isthmus, Kanal, Landenge, Landverbindung, Meerenge, Seeweg, Straße, Tunnel, Verbindung, Verbindungsweg, Wasserweg, Weg
8.9 Straße Abzweig, Abzweigung, Anfahrt, Anfahrtsweg, Anliegerstraße, Asphaltband, Asphaltstraße, Ausweichstrecke, Autostraße, Außenring, Bundesstraße, Dorfstraße, Durchgangsstraße, Einbahnstraße, Einfallstraße, Entlastungsstraße, Fernstraße, Forstweg, Geschäftsstraße, Hauptverkehrsader, Hauptverkehrsstraße, Hauptweg, Kiesweg, Küstenstraße, Landesstraße,

WORD EMBEDDINGS – EXAMPLE II – DORNSEIFF

Expansion of existing subject groups through word similarity based on fastText embeddings (Dornseiff – Der deutsche Wortschatz nach Sachgruppen, 9. Auflage, deGruyter, 2020) Knecht



43



WORD EMBEDDINGS - EXAMPLE II - DORNSEIFF

OUT-OF-VOCABULARY I

- Problems: No vectors for words that did not appear in the training data
 - e.g. languages with strong morphology (agglutinative languages such as Inuktitut)
 - Typos
- Approach fasttext:
 - Embeddings for character n-grams (e.g. 3-grams)
 - OOV word as the sum of the embeddings of all its n-grams
 - e.g. *Autobahnn:* {aut, uto, tob, oba, bah, ahn, hnn}

OUT-OF-VOCABULARY II

Using subword-level information is particularly interesting to build vectors for unknown words. For example, the word *gearshift* does not exist on Wikipedia but we can still query its closest existing words:

Command line	ython	
Query word? dea	rshift	
gearing 0.79076	2	
flywheels 0.779	804	
flywheel 0.7778	59	
gears 0.776133		
driveshafts 0.7	56345	
driveshaft 0.75	5679	
daisywheel 0.74	9998	
wheelsets 0.748	578	

flywheel: Schwungrad; driveshaft: Gelenkwelle

https://fasttext.cc/docs/en/unsupervised-tutorial.html





Bojanowski et. al. 2017 Enriching Word Vectors with Subword Information https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.04606v2

rcenes

cene cenes

rcen rcene

arcene

ceness enes eness ness

eness>

ess>

ness>

UNIVERSITÄT Text Mining and Retrieval LEIPZIG